## **Public Document Pack**



Service Director – Legal, Governance and Commissioning Julie Muscroft The Democracy Service Civic Centre 3

High Street Huddersfield HD1 2TG

Tel: 01484 221000 Please ask for: David Gearing Email: david.gearing@kirklees.gov.uk Thursday 7 October 2021

## **Notice of Meeting**

Dear Member

#### **Kirklees Schools Forum**

The Kirklees Schools Forum will meet in the Textile Centre of Excellence, Red Doles Lane, Huddersfield HD2 1YE at 10.00 am on Friday 15 October 2021.

The items which will be discussed are described in the agenda and there are reports attached which give more details.

mund

## Julie Muscroft Service Director – Legal, Governance and Commissioning

Kirklees Council advocates openness and transparency as part of its democratic processes. Anyone wishing to record (film or audio) the public parts of the meeting should inform the Chair/Clerk of their intentions prior to the meeting.

## Agenda Reports or Explanatory Notes Attached

	Pages
Welcome & Introductions	
Michelle Lee	_
Apologies for absence	
Michelle Lee	-
Minutes of the Schools Forum Public meeting held on 25th June 2021	1 - 6
Minutes of the Schools Forum Public meeting held on 25 <sup>th</sup> June 2021	
	-

### 4: Matters arising from 25th June 2021 meeting

# 5: Kirklees Education & Learning Partnership Board (standing item)

Kirklees Futures

1:

2:

3:

- Natalie McSheffrey & Board Members
- Chris Jessup

### 6: Maintained School Excess Balances

Maintained School Excess Balances including working group feedback

David Baxter

### 7: School Revenue Funding

8: High needs (Standing item)

SEND transformation plan, Special School Report and independent school fees

Kelsey Clarke-Davies

#### 9: Any Other Business

10: Confirm Minutes

#### 11: Dates & Times of Next Meetings

Dates and Times of next meetings: - 8:30 - 11:00

Friday 12th November 2021Briefing MeetingFriday 26th November 2021Briefing / Public MeetingFriday 10th December 2021Reserve Meeting

This page is intentionally left blank

# Agenda Item 3

## The KIRKLEES SCHOOLS FORUM meeting held on Friday 25<sup>th</sup> June 2021 10:30am on Microsoft Teams

Present:	
Name:	Category of Membership:
Andi Gilroy-Sinclair	Nursery School Heads (1)
Jenny Shore, Diana Wilson, Catherine Jubbs, Louise Brown, Martin Vayro	Primary School Heads (5)
	High School Heads (1)
Paul Evans	Special School Heads (1)
	Special Academy Heads (1)
Darren Christian (Chair), Michelle Lee, David Wadsworth	Academy Heads (4)
	Pupil Referral Units (1)
Lisa Buckley (post 16), Hazel Danson (NEU), Paula Wescott (NASUWT) Lisa	Non-school members (5)
Buckley (as representative for Julie Arechiga – post 16)	
	School Governors (1)
Jo-Anne Sanders, David Baxter, Martin Wilby, Natalie McSheffrey, Chris	Officers in Support
Jessup, Kelsey Clark-Davies, Peter Bell (Clerk to the meeting)	
Cllr Carole Pattison (Portfolio Holder Learning, Aspirations & Communities)	Observers

ltem	Title	Actions
1	Apologies for Absence	
	Apologies for absence had been received from Julia Arechiga (Non-School Member), Karen Colligan (High School Heads), Kath Duffy (Early Years Alliance), Laura Flynn (Special Academy Heads), Helen Pearson (Primary School Heads) and Rebecca Smith (Pupil Referral Units)	
2	Minutes of the Schools Forum meeting held on 5 March 2021	
	It was agreed that the minutes were a true record of the meeting.	Clerk
3	Matters arising from 5 March 2021 meeting	
3.1	School Trade Union Facilities Time Arrangements (minute 3.1 of 5 March 2021) Resolved Jun21 1 That this item be placed on the next agenda.	
4.	Natalie McSheffrey (NMcS) reported that the Education and Learning Partnership Board had met on 9 June 2021. Items discussed at the meeting included:	
4.1	<ul> <li>Admissions Code/Fair Access Protocol Changes 2021         Members were informed that the new School Admission Code would come into force 1             September 2021. They noted that admission authorities must comply with the Code and             NMcS explained that the main purpose of the changes was to improve support for the in-             year admission of vulnerable children. NMcS summarised the changes, which included:</li></ul>	

4.2	Workforce Planning Group	
	NMcS stated that the first meeting of the Workforce Planning Group took place mid-May. Whilst there had been good representation at the meeting, there were still several gaps to fill and this was being addressed. Members noted that several priorities had been agreed, which were:	
	<ul> <li>Well-Being of/for all education staff;</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>CPD for Senior Leaders;</li> <li>Recruitment and Retention (including the Early Careers Framework)</li> </ul>	
4.3	It was reported to the group that sickness absence figures had reduced during the last quarter, which was a positive.	
4.4	Members were informed that a strong partnership was forming between the Early Career Teachers and Teaching Hub. Meetings were taking place on a regular basis and HR were confident of a full launch in September 2021.	
4.5	• <b>Transition Arrangements</b> NMcS informed the meeting that discussions had been held around Covid related transition arrangements as the end of the school year approached, along with options being considered for 2021-22. General discussions had taken place around more creative uses of existing space, along with other options that were currently available and easily accessible.	
4.6	• Education Recovery NMcS reported that the focus of discussions around education recovery had focused on the quality of teaching and learning pupils were receiving and how this would be carried into the next academic year. There was still a need to focus on the well-being of staff, so that they could continue to support children and young people and deliver a broad and balanced, less prescriptive curriculum, in order to ensure that young people leave school with the knowledge, skills and ambition to succeed.	
4.7	The Kirklees Futures Strategy Commission and Expert Strategy group continued to meet and work on the on the 3 key areas within the 3-year plan – which was likely to be in situ until to 2030. Members noted that the first year of Strategy would include recovery and reading.	
4.8	• Education Improvement Committee (EIC) – 19 May 2021 Discussions took place around the EEF Project and Helen Metcalfe (Senior KLP) gave an update on this. All EEF evidence showed that the strategies which had the greatest impact occurred in the classroom, via quality first teaching from the best teachers. More information on the roll out of the EEF Project would be given at the July 2021 meeting of the EIC.	
4.9	Target setting had been moved to the July 2021 meeting of the EIC.	
4.10	The EIC received an update on the standardisation offer. This had been very positive in terms of school engagement and uptake. More detail on this would be provided at the July 2021 meeting of the EIC.	
4.11	The EIC was informed that carry forwards used to support Covid and schools were now in a deficit and continued to be so, A significant contribution towards the deficit was the need to purchase new books to support phonics development and reading skills.	
4.12	<ul> <li>Places Access and Inclusion Committee (PAIC Committee) – 18 May 2021</li> <li>NMcS reported that the PAIC Committee had met on 18 May 2021 and discussions had focussed on the following items:         <ul> <li>Primary National Offer headline data, which was shared.</li> <li>The SACRE Commission would be looked at in more detail.</li> <li>Overview of SEND Development work, which was shared.</li> <li>School Place Planning document, which was shared for discussion. Primary numbers were causing concern and feedback from schools and Governing</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

<ul> <li>Louise was being study it of ints.</li> <li>Inclusion in Kirklees</li> <li>Kelsey Clark-Davies (KCD) (Head of Educational Safeguarding &amp; Inclusion) gave a PowerPoint presentation on Tinclusion in Kirklees.</li> <li>KCD informed the meeting that a huge amount of development work was currently origoing within the Council, which related to and involved: <ul> <li>The Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan.</li> <li>SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group.</li> <li>Inclusion Partner Work.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan.</li> <li>ELCP Top-Up Funding Review.</li> <li>Inclusion Partner Work.</li> </ul> 4.15 Kirklees Inclusive Ambitions <ul> <li>Members noted that the Kirklees Inclusive Ambitions consisted of 7 areas, which underprined the whole Kirklees approach to inclusion. These were:</li> <li>Responsive and holistic early intervention.</li> <li>Cuttor of trust with parents and families.</li> <li>Inclusion Partner Work.</li> </ul> 4.16 Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan The Council was working on expanding the provision of outreach and inclusion workers in schelwing divergent provision for guestal interventions and maintain a quality provision up to and inclusion from special schools were currently full which focussed on ultreach error works as working on alternative provision (as pecial astonic were currently the workstreams). 4.17 The Council was working on expanding the provision of outreach and inclusion workers in schools, with the ambition being to Unid Capadity. Noistic skills and knowledge. <li>StepD Transformation Ad Commissioning Group</li> <li>An integrated system.</li> <li>SEND Transformation Plan The Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan were currently workstreams.</li>	<b></b>	Padias was being sought on this	
Ketsey Clark-Davies (KCD) (Head of Educational Safeguarding & Inclusion) gave a         PowerPoint presentation on 'Inclusion in Kirklees'.         4.14         KCD informed the meeting that a huge amount of development work was currently ongoing within the Council, which related to and involved: <ul> <li>The Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan.</li> <li>SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer.</li> <li>Valuing SEND Tool.</li> <li>LA Commissioned Reviews.</li> <li>EHCP Top-Up Funding Review.</li> <li>Inclusion Patriner Work.</li> </ul> <li>4.15</li> <li>Kirklees Inclusive Ambitions</li> <li>Members noted that the Kirklees Inclusive Ambitions consisted of 7 areas, which underprined the whole Kirklees approach to inclusion. These were:             <ul> <li>Responsive and holistic early intervention.</li> <li>Culture of trust with parents and families.</li> <li>Inclusive practice in the community and within education settings.</li> <li>CYP thriving in education settings and celebrating more holistic outcomes and achievements.</li> <li>An integrated system.</li> <li>Embedding a shared culture of proactivity, holistic skills and knowledge.</li> <li>Supporting children to have clear aspirations with a focus on preparing for adulthood.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4.16</li> <li>Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan focussed on high needs delivery via a number of delivery workstreams.</li> <li>4.17</li> <li>The Council was working on expanding the provision of outreach and inclusion working closely with special schools on alternative provision (as special schools were currently full) which focussed on outreach provision from special schools interam</li>		Bodies was being sought on this.	
<ul> <li>ongoing within the Council, which related to and involved:         <ul> <li>The Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan.</li> <li>SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer.</li> <li>LA Commissioned Reviews.</li> <li>EHCP Top-Up Funding Review.</li> <li>Inclusion Partner Work.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4.15 Kirklees Inclusive Ambitions         <ul> <li>Members noted that the Kirklees Inclusive Ambitions consisted of 7 areas, which underpinned the whole Kirklees approach to inclusion. These were:                 <ul> <li>Responsive and holistic early intervention.</li> <li>Culture of trust with parents and families.</li> <li>Inclusive practice in the community and within education settings.</li> <li>Inclusive practice in the community and within education settings.</li> <li>CVP thriving in education settings and celebrating more holistic outcomes and achievements.</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	4.13	Kelsey Clark-Davies (KCD) (Head of Educational Safeguarding & Inclusion) gave a	
<ul> <li>Members noted that the Kirklees Inclusive Ambitions consisted of 7 areas, which underpinned the whole Kirklees approach to inclusion. These were:         <ul> <li>Responsive and holistic early intervention.</li> <li>Culture of trust with parents and families.</li> <li>Inclusive practice in the community and within education settings.</li> <li>CYP thriving in education settings and celebrating more holistic outcomes and achievements.</li> <li>An integrated system.</li> <li>Embedding a shared culture of proactivity, holistic skills and knowledge.</li> <li>Supporting children to have clear aspirations with a focus on preparing for aduithood.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4.16 • Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan         <ul> <li>The Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan</li> <li>The Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan focussed on high needs delivery via a number of delivery workstreams.</li> </ul> </li> <li>4.17 The Council was working on expanding the provision of outreach and inclusion workers in schools, with the ambition being to build capacity, have early interventions and maintain a quality provision up to and including out of area support. The Council was working closely with special schools on alternative provision (as special schools were currently full) which focussed on outreach provision fransformation Plan was being overseen by the SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group. This group met on a monthly basis and was represented by key stakeholders across the primary and secondary sectors, as well as officers from within the Council. The purpose of the group was to provide quality assurance, challenge and support.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer: Early Impact         <ul> <li>Feedback from SENCos about the Inclusion Support Officer had been very positive. Two officers from within the Council could be contacted Monday-Friday during offi</li></ul></li></ul>	4.14	<ul> <li>ongoing within the Council, which related to and involved:</li> <li>The Kirklees Inclusive Ambitions.</li> <li>Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan.</li> <li>SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer.</li> <li>Valuing SEND Tool.</li> <li>LA Commissioned Reviews.</li> <li>EHCP Top-Up Funding Review.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>The Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan focussed on high needs delivery via a number of delivery workstreams.</li> <li>The Council was working on expanding the provision of outreach and inclusion workers in schools, with the ambition being to build capacity, have early interventions and maintain a quality provision up to and including out of area support. The Council was working closely with special schools on alternative provision (as special schools were currently full) which focussed on outreach provision from special schools into mainstream education.</li> <li>SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group Each workstream of the Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan was being overseen by the SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group. This group met on a monthly basis and was represented by key stakeholders across the primary and secondary sectors, as well as officers from within the Council. The purpose of the group was to provide quality assurance, challenge and support.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer: Early Impact Feedback from SENCos about the Inclusion Support Officer had been very positive. Two officers from within the Council could be contacted Monday-Friday during office hours to offer support to schools on high need cases. SENDCOS valued the reassurance that this provision gave them, particularly as with Covid it was difficult to meet with professionals face-to-face.</li> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:         <ul> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:             <ul> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:                 <ul> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	4.15	<ul> <li>Members noted that the Kirklees Inclusive Ambitions consisted of 7 areas, which underpinned the whole Kirklees approach to inclusion. These were: <ul> <li>Responsive and holistic early intervention.</li> <li>Culture of trust with parents and families.</li> <li>Inclusive practice in the community and within education settings.</li> <li>CYP thriving in education settings and celebrating more holistic outcomes and achievements.</li> <li>An integrated system.</li> <li>Embedding a shared culture of proactivity, holistic skills and knowledge.</li> <li>Supporting children to have clear aspirations with a focus on preparing for</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>schools, with the ambition being to build capacity, have early interventions and maintain a quality provision up to and including out of area support. The Council was working closely with special schools on alternative provision (as special schools were currently full) which focussed on outreach provision from special schools into mainstream education.</li> <li>SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group Each workstream of the Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan was being overseen by the SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group. This group met on a monthly basis and was represented by key stakeholders across the primary and secondary sectors, as well as officers from within the Council. The purpose of the group was to provide quality assurance, challenge and support.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer: Early Impact Feedback from SENCos about the Inclusion Support Officer had been very positive. Two officers from within the Council could be contacted Monday-Friday during office hours to offer support to schools on high need cases. SENDCOs valued the reassurance that this provision gave them, particularly as with Covid it was difficult to meet with professionals face-to-face.</li> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer</li> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:         <ul> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer</li> <li>Inclusion SEND approach and tool.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer: supporting settings to assess and meet the needs</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	4.16	The Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan focussed on high needs delivery via a number	
<ul> <li>Each workstream of the Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan was being overseen by the SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group. This group met on a monthly basis and was represented by key stakeholders across the primary and secondary sectors, as well as officers from within the Council. The purpose of the group was to provide quality assurance, challenge and support.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer: Early Impact Feedback from SENCos about the Inclusion Support Officer had been very positive. Two officers from within the Council could be contacted Monday-Friday during office hours to offer support to schools on high need cases. SENDCOs valued the reassurance that this provision gave them, particularly as with Covid it was difficult to meet with professionals face-to-face.</li> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer The Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:         <ul> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:                 <ul> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:                     <ul> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:                          <ul> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	4.17	schools, with the ambition being to build capacity, have early interventions and maintain a quality provision up to and including out of area support. The Council was working closely with special schools on alternative provision (as special schools were currently full) which	
<ul> <li>4.20</li> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer The Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer The Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer The Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:         <ul> <li>Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer The Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:             <ul> <li>Valuing SEND: increased understanding of the range of needs, settings, readiness, and home confidence to meet them across Kirklees through use of the Valuing SEND approach and tool.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer: supporting settings to assess and meet the needs</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	4.18	Each workstream of the Kirklees Inclusion Transformation Plan was being overseen by the SEND Transformation and Commissioning Group. This group met on a monthly basis and was represented by key stakeholders across the primary and secondary sectors, as well as officers from within the Council. The purpose of the group was to provide quality	
<ul> <li>The Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:         <ul> <li>Valuing SEND: increased understanding of the range of needs, settings, readiness, and home confidence to meet them across Kirklees through use of the Valuing SEND approach and tool.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer: supporting settings to assess and meet the needs</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	4.19	Feedback from SENCos about the Inclusion Support Officer had been very positive. Two officers from within the Council could be contacted Monday-Friday during office hours to offer support to schools on high need cases. SENDCOs valued the reassurance that this provision gave them, particularly as with Covid it was difficult to meet with professionals	
	4.20	<ul> <li>The Valuing SEND and Inclusion Support Offer were split into two initiatives, which were:         <ul> <li>Valuing SEND: increased understanding of the range of needs, settings, readiness, and home confidence to meet them across Kirklees through use of the Valuing SEND approach and tool.</li> <li>Inclusion Support Offer: supporting settings to assess and meet the needs</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

	and c	oordinating acc	ess to multi-a	gency service	s.		
4.21	<ul> <li>Implementing VSEND in Kirklees</li> <li>Members received a summary of work to date on VSEND in Kirklees. They noted that:         <ul> <li>There were 16 VSEND training sessions.</li> <li>23 settings had submitted VSEND profiles.</li> <li>The average number of returns per setting was 5.6.</li> <li>There were c1200 CYP within those settings with SEND or an EHCP.</li> <li>131 VSEND profiles had been completed.</li> <li>10% completed returns of potential CYPs the VSEND tool could support.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
4.22	Whilst the respon still relatively sma to improve the pro	II. The respons	es had helped	d the Council	identify where	several tweaks	
4.23	<ul> <li>LA Reviews and Working Parties         Members were informed that there were currently 4 LA Reviews and Working Parties in situ. These were:         <ul> <li>Alternative/Specialist Provision (Jane Friswell)</li> <li>Specialist School Placement Sufficiency. A report to Cabinet was due imminently, which concentrated on the creation of additional places in special schools and the building of additional provision. As this would take time to implement, the Council was looking to find space in other schools and was working with key stakeholders on this.         <ul> <li>Educational Safeguarding Service. The Council was currently looking at its current provision and how this service could be located closer to local communities which utilised it.</li> <li>Exclusion Working Party. The Council was looking at pupil exclusion numbers across the district and had already received useful date to plan the 'what next' steps in relation to reducing the number of pupil exclusions.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>						
4.24	• <b>EHCP Top-Up Funding</b> A paper had been agreed by Cabinet in May 2021, which proposed an increase in the top- up funding amount that mainstream schools received for pupils with EHCP's.						
4.25	<ul> <li>Other Inclusion Partner Work         There were two additional strands of other inclusion partner work which the Council was leading on. These were:         <ul> <li>The Graduated Approach. This considered the 'non-negotiable' best endeavours in provision and had been co-produced with a team of SENDCos.</li> <li>Strategic Development of SENDACT (EHCP). The purpose of this was to review systems and processes within SENDACT, as well as implement innovative, transparent and equitable ways of working, with the involvement of key stakeholders in the process.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						
4.26	The Chair invited questions, following which Kelsey was thanked for her interesting and informative presentation.						
5	a) Dedicated Sch	ools Grant Ou	itturn				
5.1	• The DSG Account (Projected DSG reserve account deficit at 31.03.21) David Baxter (DB) reported on the projected DSG reserve account deficit at 31.03.21						
5.2		Opening Balance <u>£k</u>	Correction <u>£k</u>	Agreed carry- forwards <u>£k</u>	2020-21 over/under spending <u>£k</u>	Closing balance 31.03.21 <u>£k</u>	
	High Needs	12,876.8			10,548.0	23,424.8	
	Early Years Schools Block /	-982.2 316.6		982.2 -316.6	-1,225.7 -329.2	-1,225.7 -329.2	
	CSS Block	510.0		-510.0	523.2	523.2	

Net DSG Reserve account deficit	14395.6		10,129.5	25,105.8
Almondbury CS Deficit	0.0		1,954.1	1,954.1
PPP1 Affordability Gap	2,184.4	-84.9	2,047.1	4146.6
Central Services Adjustment	0.0		-2,864.8	-2,864.8

- 5.3 Members noted that the Net DSG reserve account deficit stood at £25.1 million and that the High Needs block contributed £23.4 million towards this total. This figure was the highest in the Yorkshire and Humberside region and was therefore a high priority to address. The Council was working with the ESFA on this.
- 5.4 The Chair stated that this was a national issue, not just a Kirklees one. The government was aware of the situation, which had been caused by underfunding over several years.
- 5.5 Jo-Anne Sanders (JAS) reiterated the Chair's comments. She stated that this was a cumulative position created over several years. Historically the Council had not gained financially when changes in incremental funding had occurred, meaning that more and more pressures had been put on its finances. The Council was working hard to address this by utilising funding from other budget headings to support high needs funding. However, this did not detract from what was a very serious budgetary situation and the outcomes of the work with the ESFA would be reported to the Schools Forum at a later date.

#### 5.6 • Maintained School Balances

DB reported on current maintained school balances and Members noted the following carry forward figures:

Primary Maintained Schools	+£8,376,525
Secondary Maintained Schools	+£3,047,995
Special Maintained Schools	+£394,738

#### 5.7 • Schools with Excess Balances

DB reported on current maintained school balances and Members noted the following numbers of schools with excess balances (i.e. more than 8% c/f):

Primary Maintained Schools	14
Secondary Maintained Schools	5
Special Maintained Schools	0

The Council was working with schools which had excess balances in order to establish why this was (e.g., a future capital project). This would then be reported to the Schools Forum.

#### 5.8 • Schools with Deficit Balances

DB reported on current maintained school balances and Members noted the following numbers of schools with deficit balances:

Primary Maintained Schools	9
Secondary Maintained Schools	0
Special Maintained Schools	0

5.9 JAS informed the meeting that the LA worked in partnership with schools in deficit. Decisions made to get the school back on track were not rushed or made because of a 'panic' situation. Consideration was given to identifying where the budget issues were within the school and what could be done to address them. As DB had explained, a forward plan would then be put together and agreed, along with timescales for getting the

	school out of its deficit position.			
5.10	School Contingency			
	DB presented the financial outturn for 2020/201. Overall the budget was just over £2.0 million with actual expenditure totalling £1.4million leaving a net underspend on the account of £0.6million.			
5.11	Q: What will happen to the net underspend of £0.6 million? A: It will be carried forward into the 2021/22 contingency fund.			
5.12	Expenditure included support in the following areas:			
	Pupil Growth£0.7millionSeverance Costs (Schools in Financial Difficulty)£0.4millionBusiness Rates Adjustments£0.2millon			
5.13	School Reorganisation			
	DB presented the financial outturn for 2020/2021. Overall the budget was £0.29 million with actual totalling more than £0.64 million, resulting in a net overspend of £0.35 million.			
	Expenditure was split across the following areas:			
	Primary Sector£0.15mSecondary£0.49m			
6	Any Other Business			
6.1	Plans for Next Academic Year			
6.2	NMcS reported that discussions had been held about holding face-to-face meetings during the next academic year. It was felt that a hybrid approach, with some face-to-face and some virtual meetings, was a sensible way forward for the immediate future. Members were receptive to this suggestion and proposed the following way forward for future meetings of the Schools Forum and other Working Groups:			
	<ul> <li>Procedural meetings – to be held remotely.</li> <li>Business Meetings – to take place face-to-face where possible.</li> <li>ACTION: That Future procedural meetings be held remotely and that future business-related meetings take place face-to-face where possible.</li> </ul>	DB/ NMcS		
	ACTION: That the next meeting of the Schools Forum take place as a face-to-face meeting, should this be possible.	DB/ NMcS		
	• Schools Forum Membership Members noted that the LA would be looking at the Schools Forum membership and constitution over the summer.			
	ACTION: That Members receive an update on this at the next meeting.	DB/ NMcS		
	• Future Agenda Items The Chair proposed that the item "Covid-19" be removed from future meeting agendas. ACTION: That the item "Covid-19" be removed from future meeting agendas.	DB		
7.	Dates and times of next meeting			
	• Friday, 10 September 2021 at 9:00 am – Briefing ( <i>Teams Meeting</i> ).	DB/ NMcS		